



File 5

PUBLISHED BY:

The freedom collective


Ozboy File

THE TRUTH ABOUT IRAQ

*** REAL REASON BEHIND IRAQ INVASION ***
THE TRUTH ABOUT PROJECT BABYLON
*** DESTABILISATION TACTICS ***

The OzBoy File

The Truth About Iraq



Written

By

Lloyd T Vance and Steve Johnson

The Truth About Iraq



The Freedom Collective™ Is a group of like minded people dedicated to seeking peace and truth in a world of lies and deceit.



Freedom Collective™ The OZBOY FILE™ and associated logos and certain images listed are copyright Trademarks and are not to be copied or reproduced without express permission.

The images contained within this publication are shown and used for illustrative purposes, have been found on public domain and have used for educational use only and no ownership is implied or intended unless stated specifically.



The Truth About Iraq

Introduction

Chapter 1 – Real Reason We Invaded Iraq

Chapter 2 – Treatment of USA Upon The Local People

Chapter 3 – Next stop IRAN?

Chapter 4 – Sept 11th 2001 – Iraq / Afghanistan

Chapter 5 – Kevin Rudd Admits Going To Iraq Wrong

Chapter 6 – The Downing Street Truth

Chapter 7 - Andrew Wilkie Rising to the Challenge

Conclusion




The Truth About Iraq

Introduction

Welcomed to **The OzBoy File "Truth About Iraq"**, there is a lot which can be written about this subject a person would never stop writing if we had to cover everything.

So we have tried to cover the main issues the **"AXIS Of Evil Coalition"** countries did led by United States of America, England & Australia.

Prime Ministers, Presidents of these above countries will get away with committing murder and War Crimes against the people of Iraq and nobody in their Governments will hold them accountable.



Number Of Iraqis Slaughtered In US War And Occupation Of Iraq "1,366,350"

The Truth About Iraq

Number of U.S. Military Personnel Sacrificed (Officially acknowledged) In U.S. War And Occupation Of Iraq [4,715](#)

Number Of International Occupation Force Troops Slaughtered In Afghanistan : [1,752](#)

**Cost of War in Iraq & Afghanistan
\$991,433,261,980**



I have said to Steve a number of times it piss me off, if you or I friends committed some crime we would have FBI, CIA, MI6, ASIO, Australian Federal Police breaking out doors down armed to the teeth with machine guns.

SEARCH FOR TRUTH

The Truth About Iraq

Yet President George Bush, Ex- Prime Minister Tony Blair and Ex-Prime Minister John Winston Howard will get away with their crimes of **"High Treason"** taking our countries to War on Fake – False evidence which they themselves made up to justify the Illegal Invasion of Iraq.

New Governments and leaders in each of the above countries have carried on from where George Bush, Tony Blair and John Howard left off.


Chapter 1

Real Reason We Invaded Iraq


An oil law giving Bush's crony conquistadors a dominant hold on Iraq's oil has always been the true "benchmark" of victory for the White House.

And now it is within reach, the Iraqi parliament will vote on the law next month – with 140,000 American troops parked all around the country, and American bombs falling on the capital.

Gee, wonder which way the vote will go?



For anyone who thinks that "**bringing democracy**" was the goal of our invasion of Iraq, think again our kids have been dying and getting maimed so that major oil companies could get control of Iraq's oil, period, end of discussion.



US ACTIONS OF WAR AFTER 1945 – Invading Other Countries and Stealing Resources for the American Multi National Corporations and making a Business for the Industrial Military Complex

Isn't this what the Nazi – Fascist did in WW11?

Invade countries Rape the countries dry, steal, murder, kill, and imprison people?



- Iran / 1953
- GUATEMALA / 1954
- LEBANON / 1958
- PANAMA / 1958
- VIETNAM / 1960-75
- LAOS / 1961
- CUBA / 1961
- PANAMA / 1964
- INDONESIA / 1965
- DOMINICAN REPUBLIC / 1965-66
- GUATEMALA / 1966-67
- CAMBODIA / 1969-75
- OMAN / 1970
- LAOS / 1971-73
- CHILE / 1973
- CAMBODIA / 1975
- IRAN / 1980
- LIBYA / 1981
- EL SALVADOR / 1981
- NICARAGUA / 1981-90
- HONDURAS / 1982
- LEBANON / 1982-84
- GRENADA / 1983-84
- LIBYA / 1986
- BOLIVIA / 1987
- IRAN / 1987-88
- LIBYA / 1989
- PANAMA / 1989
- LIBERIA / 1990
- KUWAIT / 1991
- AFGHANISTAN / 2001
- IRAQ / 2003



Chapter 2

Treatment of American Military Upon the local population, this treatment equates to the same – similar what the Nazi's use to treat people

VIDEO - IRAQI CIVILIANS MASSACRED BY AMERICAN SOLDIERS NEAR BAIJI

On May 20, 2008 in the village of Al Mazraa, near Baiji, Salahuddin Province, Iraq- Eight relatives and a neighbour on their way to a homecoming party for a detainee released from Camp Bucca were shot and killed by American soldiers as they waited on the road outside the neighbourhood.

American soldiers were conducting raids in the area, so neighbours had warned the family to stay away from the area until the patrol was over.

As the two-car convoy was waiting nearby, an American helicopter nearby opened fire on the vehicles.

As the vehicles were hit, the drivers attempted to seek cover, but both vehicles were repeatedly shot and disabled.

The helicopter landed but instead of assisting those shot and needing medical help, the American soldiers killed any survivors and then wrote numbers on the foreheads of some.

Several children including a young girls body are clearly visible, and the wounds suffered by the men are horrific.

The vehicle is clearly shot with many rounds and the seats and road is covered with blood.

Iraqi police were called to the scene to remove the bodies, and some video footage was taken.

It is clear that these people were unarmed civilians.

American troops did eventually enter the house where over 50 Iraqis were gathered for the homecoming party, and the soldiers proceeded to destroy furniture and belongings as they conducted their search.

No one in the house was harmed, The names of those killed: -

Sabah Rasheed Matrood
Saab Rasheed Matrood
Kamel Badr Daher
Ali Badr Daher
Udei Badr Daher
Fateh Haref Nouar
Abdul Rahman Kamel Badr



Chapter 3

Next stop IRAN?

<http://informationclearinghouse.info/article3288.htm>

Then onto the other 60 countries on American hit list to invade and take control of the **"Oil & Gas"** and put them under American Multi National Oil Company's Control for the sheer greed!

GREED of American Multi National Companies.

Other countries include; Russia, Canada, Australia, Africa, Asia, Pacific.

Bush Planned Iraq 'Regime Change' Before Becoming President

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article1221.htm>

Memo: Bush made Intel fit Iraq policy

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article8757.htm>

UK Ministers Knew WMD Was "Excuse"

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article9111.htm>

The president's real goal in Iraq

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article2319.htm>

The Project for the New American Century.

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article1665.htm>

America's War for Global Domination

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article5428.htm>

Chapter 4

Neocon Imperialism, 9/11, and the Attacks on Afghanistan and Iraq

One way to understand the effect of 9/11, in most general terms, is to see that it allowed the agenda developed in the 1990s by neoconservatives—often called simply “neocons”—to be implemented.


There is agreement on this point across the political spectrum, From the right, for example, Stefan Halper and Jonathan Clarke say that 9/11 allowed the “preexisting ideological agenda” of the neoconservatives to be “taken off the shelf . . . and relabeled as the response to terror.”

Stephen Sniegoski, writing from the left, says that “it was only the traumatic effects of the 9/11 terrorism that enabled the agenda of the neocons to become the policy of the United States of America.”

What was this agenda?

It was, in essence, that the United States should use its military supremacy to establish an empire that includes the whole world--a global Pax Americana.

Three major means to this end were suggested.



One of these was to make U.S. military supremacy over other nations even greater, so that it would be completely beyond challenge.

This goal was to be achieved by increasing the money devoted to military purposes, then using this money to complete the “revolution in military affairs” made possible by the emergence of the information age.

The second major way to achieve a global Pax Americana was to announce and implement a doctrine of preventive-preemptive war, usually for the sake of bringing about “regime change” in countries regarded as hostile to U.S. interests and values.

The third means toward the goal of universal empire was to use this new doctrine to gain control of the world’s oil, especially in the Middle East, most immediately Iraq.

In discussing these ideas, I will include recognitions by some commentators that without 9/11, the various dimensions of this agenda could not have been implemented.

Chapter 5

Going to war with Iraq was wrong, Australian Prime Minister Kevin Rudd admits

In an admission that will make uncomfortable reading in London and Washington, the Labour leader dismissed one-by-one the reasons used by his predecessor, John Howard, to join the Anglo-American invasion of Iraq five years ago.

Going to war with Iraq was wrong, Australian Prime Minister Kevin Rudd admits


All the arguments Australia marshalled to justify sending troops to fight in Iraq proved to be wrong, Prime Minister Kevin Rudd said today, as the country's 550 combat soldiers headed home

Prime Minister Kevin Rudd has pulled the country's troops out of Iraq

In an admission that will make uncomfortable reading in London and Washington, the Labour leader dismissed one-by-one the reasons used by his predecessor, John Howard, to join the Anglo-American invasion of Iraq five years ago.

Have further terrorist attacks been prevented?

No, they have not been, as the victims of the Madrid train bombing will attest," Mr Rudd told parliament.



Has any evidence of a link between weapons of mass destruction and the former Iraqi regime and terrorists been found?

No.

Have the actions of rogue states like Iran been moderated?

No ... Iran's nuclear ambitions remain a fundamental challenge.

After five years, has the humanitarian crisis in Iraq been removed?

No it has not.

Mr Rudd, whose campaign for election last November included a pledge to withdraw Australian combat forces from Iraq, said pre-war intelligence had been "abused" by the Howard government.

He said there had been a "failure to disclose to the Australian people the qualified nature of the intelligence - for example, the pre-war warning that an attack on Iraq would increase the terrorist threat, not decrease it".

Mr Rudd, a former diplomat, also dismissed his predecessor's argument that Australia had been obliged to send troops to Iraq because of its long-standing alliance with the United States.

He said while he valued the alliance highly, it did not mean that Canberra should automatically accede to US requests for military support.

His comments came a day after Australia's 550-strong combat force began leaving its base at Tallil, 185 miles south of Baghdad.

Mr Howard, who has kept a low profile since being ousted from office six months ago, said he was still convinced that being part of the 2003 invasion of Iraq was justified.

"I firmly believe it was the right thing to have done," he said, while acknowledging that it was the hardest decision he made as prime minister and that the cost of the war had been "very, very heavy and much greater than anybody would have liked".

His decision to send troops was deeply influenced by the fact that he was in the US on an official visit on September 11, 2001, when terrorists struck New York and Washington.

Mr Howard was one of four leaders who supported the US-led coalition but who are all now out of office: Tony Blair, Jose Maria Aznar of Spain and Polish president Aleksander Kwasniewski.

One of Mr Howard's former senior officials said the government had been fully briefed on the fact that invading Iraq would damage US prestige, foster anti-Western sentiment, require a massive troop presence and destabilise the wider Middle East.

"All that was predictable and I don't think the benefits of the West going in were worth the cost," the official, who declined to be named, told the Sydney Morning Herald.

"That was my judgment at the time and that hasn't changed."

Australia will still have about 800 military personnel in and around Iraq, including a 110-strong diplomatic security detachment in Baghdad, sailors on board warships in the Persian Gulf and Royal Australian Air Force crew.

Matilda is walzing home from Iraq, and the Australians are lucky but chastened.

Lucky for having lost not one soldier in combat of the 2,000 sent to join the "coalition of the willing" attack on Iraq in March 2003.

Chastened because Australian Prime Minister Kevin Rudd is now pulling no punches in decrying the subservience of his predecessor, John Howard, to Washington.

Announcing the withdrawal of the 550 Australian troops still in Iraq on Monday, Rudd echoed recent charges by former White House spokesman Scott McClellan about the Bush administration's "shading" of intelligence to "justify" an unnecessary war.

Rudd told Parliament he was most concerned by "the manner in which the decision to go to war was made; the abuse of intelligence information, a failure to disclose to the Australian people.

The qualified nature of that intelligence” and the government’s silence on “the pre-war warning that an attack on Iraq would increase the terrorist threat, not decrease it.”

Rudd added: “This government does not believe that our alliance with the United States mandates automatic compliance with every element of the United States’ foreign policy.”

Stung by Rudd’s candor, White House spokeswoman Dana Perino fell back on the canard that “the entire world” agreed on the threat posed by Saddam Hussein.

As President Lyndon Johnson would have put it, That dog won’t hunt.

If all agreed, why then was President George W. Bush unable to secure the approval of the U.N. Security Council, without which an armed attack on another country is illegal under international and U.S. law?

Among “coalition of the willing” leaders not named Bush, only the faith-based former British Prime Minister Tony Blair hangs on pathetically to the notion that “everyone” believed Saddam Hussein had WMD.

This is particularly odd since Blair acknowledges the authenticity of the (in) famous Downing Street Memos.

Perhaps his conversion to Catholicism will prompt him to confess that he lied – a reality long beyond dispute.

Chapter 6

The Downing Street Truth

As some will recall, Blair sent his intelligence chief off to Washington in summer 2002 to confer with his opposite number, and Bush intimate, CIA Director George Tenet.

In the spring of 2005, a patriotic truth-teller leaked to British media the minutes of a summit meeting of UK national security officials convened on July 23, 2002 at 10 Downing Street.

(The minutes, which became known as the Downing Street Memos, were composed that same day by one of those officials and sent to the other participants.)

The minutes revealed that at CIA headquarters on July 20, 2002, Tenet informed his British counterpart that President Bush had decided to attack Iraq for regime change that the war would be justified by the “conjunction” of weapons of mass destruction and terrorism; and that “the intelligence and facts were being fixed around the policy.”

So we did not really need Scott McClellan’s recent revelations to understand that the intelligence was “fixed,” even though our country’s fawning corporate media (FCM) made a Herculean effort to suppress this key evidence – in part by ignoring and disparaging the Downing Street Memos when they surfaced three years ago.

Among the saddest aspects of this whole affair, at least for those who have been in the intelligence profession, is that no one within the U.S. intelligence establishment saw fit to go public and disclose the deception that was being used to “justify” a war of aggression.

No one.

The only seasoned officials with the courage to speak out were three Foreign Service Officers – Brady Kiesling, Ann Wright and John H. Brown – each of whom resigned before the war since it was clear to them, even without access to the most sensitive intelligence, that the war could not be justified.

As for intelligence officials outside the United States, there were several profiles in courage.



Katharine Gun, a translator in the British equivalent of our National Security Agency, did successfully leak a very damaging Jan. 31, 2003, memorandum from NSA revealing that the U.S. and U.K. were pulling out all stops to sell the war, even intercepting messages to UN delegations in New York and elsewhere.

It was all part of a last-ditch attempt to pressure non-aligned members of the UN Security Council into acquiescing to the U.S./U.K. desire to strike Iraq.

Gun thought she might succeed in slowing or even stopping an attack on Iraq, if the world learned the lengths to which Bush and Blair were going to have their war.

Gun's explosive document, carried by the London Observer on March 2, 2003 – just two and a half weeks before the attack on Iraq – was suppressed or trivialized by the FCM (fawning corporate media) in the United States.



(Gun, who acknowledged leaking the document, was fired and charged under the Official Secrets Act. But the case collapsed when the British government balked at providing evidence that might have disclosed some government law experts had concluded that the Iraq invasion was illegal. Gun is now a member of VIPS/West.)

And after the war began, Danish Army Intelligence Major Frank Grevil gave the Danish media documents showing that Danish intelligence had reported to its government that the U.S. public rationale for war was not supported by authentic intelligence. Grevil (another VIPS member) was sentenced to four months in prison for his efforts to tell the truth.

Chapter 7

Andrew Wilkie Rising to the Challenge

Until he quit nine days before the attack on Iraq, Andrew Wilkie was a senior analyst in Australia's premier intelligence agency, the Office of National Assessments (ONA).

Of all the Australian, British and American all-source intelligence analysts with direct knowledge of how intelligence was abused in the run-up to the war – Wilkie was the only one to resign in protest and speak truth to power.

Those who dismiss such efforts as an exercise in futility should know that on Oct. 7, 2003, the Australian Senate, in a rare move, censured then-Prime Minister Howard for misleading the public in justifying sending Australian troops off to war.

The Senate statement of censure noted that Howard had produced no evidence to justify his claims in March 2003 that Iraq had stockpiles of biological and chemical weapons, and castigated him for suppressing Australian intelligence warnings that war with Iraq would increase the likelihood of terrorist attacks.

One senator accused Howard of “unprecedented deceit.”

Ask the American FCM why they ignored that story.



Thanks to Wilkie's courage and determination , many Australians were able to come to an early understanding that the reasons adduced for war on Iraq were cooked in Washington and served up by Australian leaders all too willing to give unquestioning support to the Bush administration.

Those Australian leaders are now being held accountable.

VIPS invited Andrew Wilkie to Washington in July 2003 to speak at a briefing arranged by Dennis Kucinich, D-Ohio, in the House Rayburn Building.

There were 14 TV cameras in that room, but not one minute of TV coverage that afternoon or evening.

After his presentation, we strongly encouraged Wilkie to keep throwing light on this dark chapter of history; he was pleased to join VIPS/East.

We expressed our hope that U.S. intelligence analysts who also watched the deceit close-up would soon join him in speaking out.

With a wan smile, Wilkie shook his head and pointed to the cost – including the character assassination to which he had already been subjected at the hands of his government.

One VIPS Testifies

On Aug. 22, 2003, Wilkie had an opportunity not yet afforded any VIPS of the American, British or Danish chapters.

He laid out his case before parliament in Canberra, testifying that the attack on Iraq had little to do with WMD or terrorism. One particularly telling part of his testimony:

“Please remember the Government was also receiving detailed assessments on the U.S. in which it was made very clear the U.S. was intent on invading Iraq for more important reasons than WMD and terrorism.

Hence all this talk about WMD and terrorism was hollow.

Much more likely is the proposition the Government deliberately exaggerated the Iraq WMD threat so as to stay in step with the U.S.”

In the wake of Wilkie’s testimony, Australian pundits became more critical of the Howard government and its persistent refusal to acknowledge that, as one journalist put it, they were “conned by master manipulators masquerading as purveyors of objective intelligence.”

Sounds a little like Scott McClellan, no?

But, thanks to the FCM, most Americans hear it for the first time only five years later.

The candor of Wilkie’s Aug. 22, 2003 testimony to the Australian parliament helps to dispel the myths and canards still wafting around about – among other things – how “the entire world” believed Saddam Hussein was a dangerous threat.

Accordingly, we include some of the more telling Wilkie excerpts below.

Opening Remarks to the Parliamentary Joint Committee on the Australian Security Intelligence Organisation (ASIO), the Australian Secret Intelligence Service (ASIS), and the Defence Signals Directorate (DSD) 22 August 2003 Andrew Wilkie - “Mr. Chairman, thank you for inviting me to appear before the Committee.

You would be well aware that I resigned from the Office of National Assessments, before the Iraq war, because I assessed that invading Iraq would not be the most sensible and ethical way to resolve the Iraq issue.

I chose resignation, specifically, because compromise or seeking to create change from within ONA were not realistic options.

At the time I resigned I put on the public record three fundamental concerns.

Firstly, that Iraq did not pose a serious enough security threat to justify a war.

Secondly, that too many things could go wrong.

And, thirdly, that war was still totally unnecessary because options short of war were yet to be exhausted.

My first concern is especially relevant today.

It was based on my assessment that Iraq's conventional armed forces were weak, that Iraq's Weapons of Mass Destruction programme was disjointed and contained, and that there was no hard evidence of any active cooperation between Iraq and al Qaida.

Now the government has claimed repeatedly I was not close enough to the Iraq issue to know what I'm talking about.

Such statements have misled the public and have been exceptionally hurtful to me.

I was a Senior Analyst with a top secret positive vet security clearance.

I'd been awarded a Superior rating in my last performance appraisal, and not long before I resigned I'd been informed by the Deputy Director-General that thought was being given to my being promoted.

Because of my military background (I had been a regular army infantry Lieutenant Colonel), I was required to be familiar with war-related issues...and was on standby to cover Iraq once the war began...Now, in fairness to Australian and Allied intelligence agencies, Iraq was a tough target.

From time to time there were shortages of human intelligence on the country.

At other times the preponderance of anti-Saddam sources desperate for US intervention ensured a flood of disinformation. Collecting technical intelligence was equally challenging.

A problem for Australian agencies was their reliance on Allies.

We had virtually no influence on foreign intelligence collection planning, and the raw intelligence seldom arrived with adequate notes on sources or reliability.

More problematic was the way in which Australia's tiny agencies needed to rely on the sometimes weak and skewed views contained in the assessments prepared in Washington. A few problems were inevitable.

For instance, intelligence gaps were sometimes back-filled with the disinformation.

Worst-case sometimes took primacy over most-likely.

The threat was sometimes overestimated as a result of the fairy tales coming out of the US.

And sometimes Government pressure, as well as politically correct intelligence officers themselves, resulted in its own bias.

But, overall, Australian agencies did, I believe, an acceptable job reporting on the existence of, the capacity and willingness to use, and immediacy of the threat, posed by Iraq.

Assessments were okay, not least because they were always heavily qualified to reflect the ambiguous intelligence picture.

How then to explain the big gap between the Government's pre-war claims about Iraq possessing a massive arsenal of WMD and cooperating actively with al Qaida and the reality that no arsenal of weapons or evidence of substantive links have yet been found?

Well, most often the Government deliberately skewed the truth by taking the ambiguity out of the issue.

Key intelligence assessment qualifications like 'probably', 'could' and 'uncorroborated evidence suggests' were frequently dropped.

Much more useful words like 'massive' and 'mammoth' were included, even though such words had not been offered to the government by the intelligence agencies.

Before we knew it, the Government had created a mythical Iraq, one where every factory was up to no good and weaponisation was continuing apace.

Equally misleading was the way in which the Government misrepresented the truth.

For example, when the Government spoke of Iraq having form (being up to no good), it cited pre-1991 Gulf War examples, like the use of chemical weapons against Iran and the Kurds.

Mind you, the Government needed to be creative, because 12 years of sanctions, inspections and air strikes had virtually disarmed modern Iraq....

The Government even went so far as to fabricate the truth.

The claims about Iraq cooperating actively with al Qaida were obviously nonsense.

As was the Government's reference to Iraq seeking uranium in Africa, despite the fact that ONA, the Department of Defence, and the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, all knew the Niger story was fraudulent.

This was critical information.

It beggars belief that ONA knew it was discredited but didn't advise the Prime Minister, Defence knew but didn't tell the Defence Minister, and Foreign Affairs knew but didn't tell the Foreign Minister.

In closing, I wish to make it clear that I do not apologise for, or withdraw from, my accusation that the Howard government misled the Australian public over Iraq, both through its own public statements, as well as through its endorsement of Allied statements.

The government lied every time it said or implied that I was not senior enough or appropriately placed in ONA to know what I was talking about.

And the government lied every time it skewed, misrepresented, used selectively and fabricated the Iraq story.

But these examples are just the tip of the iceberg.

For instance, the government lied when the Prime Minister's Office told the media I was mentally unstable.

The government lied when it associated Iraq with the Bali bombing.

And the government lied every time it linked Iraq to the War on Terror.

The Prime Minister and the Foreign Minister in particular have a lot to answer for.

After all, they were the chief cheerleaders for the invasion of another country, without UN endorsement, for reasons that have now been discredited.



Conclusion

So as you can see there was an American planned agenda to invade Iraq before George Bush – Dick Cheney was elected, plans were being worked on to invade.

It had nothing to do with evil Saddam Hussein, bringing Freedom & Democracy to Iraq.

It was all about OIL, taking control of Iraq's Oil sector, in which they did, they then broke up the State – Government control system and sold it off to the Corporations.

With Halliburton, Dick Cheney old company handling all the Oil contracts in selling them off to corporations.

So in other words, all our military personnel were used as mercenaries to invade somebody else country and steal their assets namely OIL.

And who has profited?

The Oil Companies.

Halliburton Dick Cheney old company and they all had shares in Halliburton.

This is not only a crime against the people of Iraq for the damage, killing our armies have done, but it's a crime against our own military personnel to be used like that.

Our military are there for defensive purposes not to be used as a standing army to go around fighting wars based upon invading other countries for the corporations.



The Project for the New American Century.

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article1665.htm>

America's War for Global Domination

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article5428.htm>

The Plan is for the United States to rule the world.

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article1544.htm>

I hope you have enjoyed reading **The OzBoy File – Truth About Iraq**, as you can see I made the case and proved beyond doubt the invasion of Iraq was corruption at the highest levels of Government and with politicians.

Australia's dear close friend United States of America has turned into an Fascist – Nazi Police State serving the interest of the corporations and secret groups with an agenda.

It is no longer a system that serves the people, it purely serve the corporations interest.

Both political parties have sold out and congressmen and senators collect bribes off the highest bidders from lobbyist who push an agenda.

Australian stupid and corrupt politicians have signed onto the bottom line, using our military for the corporations sole greed of grabbing what ever resources in the world they demand politicians claim – steal on their behalf.

This is absolutely criminal and corruption.





We are asking people if they can donate something to keep Freedom Collective alive and producing more

Great Books

Videos

Web Sites

Stories & Articles

Here is our Banking details.

Bank Account Details

Name On Account: **Freedom Collective**

BSB: **013516**

Account No: **479225403**

Bank Swift Code: **ANZBAU3M**

Bank: **ANZ Banking Corporation**

927 Sturt Street

Ballarat Victoria 3350 Australia

Please just give the above details to your Bank Teller It cost \$25.00 to transfer – wire / deposit money straight into our account from overseas.

And **“0”** Amount to Transfer / Pay Anybody from your Bank Account in Australia & to deposit money into our Account at any ANZ Bank.

And thank you to everybody who donates!



Some Great Web Sites To Visit and Read

<http://southeastasianews.org>

<http://how911wasdone.com>

<http://binladden.blogspot.com/>

<http://itwasntmuslims.blogspot.com/>

<http://ozradiationnews.blogspot.com>

<http://www.alqaedadoesntexist.com/>

Support The OzBoy Files
Buy a T-shirt, Cap, Jacket, Camera today

<http://www.cafepress.com.au/OzboyFiles>



<http://www.cafepress.com.au/OzboyFiles>





<http://www.cafepress.com.au/OzboyFiles>



SEARCH FOR TRUTH

whatREALLYhappened.com



SEARCH FOR TRUTH